

4502

Brad D. Brian (State Bar No. 079001)  
 Stuart N. Senator (State Bar No. 148009)  
 Jonathan E. Altman (State Bar No. 170607)  
 Truc T. Do (State Bar No. 191845)  
 Hailyn C. Chen (State Bar No. 237436)  
 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
 Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702  
 Brad.Brian@mtto.com

Jerome C. Roth (State Bar No. 159483)  
 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94105-2907  
 Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)  
 Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062)  
 Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)  
 CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP  
 One Liberty Plaza  
 New York, NY 10006  
 Telephone: (212) 225-2000  
 Facsimile: (212) 225-3999  
 mlazerwitz@cgsh.com

Attorneys for Defendants LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC and LG DISPLAY CO., LTD

[Additional counsel listed on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3: 07-md-1827 SI  
 MDL NO. 1827

This Document Relates To:

ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER CLASS  
 ACTIONS

**STIPULATION OF EXTENSION OF TIME  
 TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF IPPS'  
 MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
 APPROVAL**

The Honorable Susan J. Illston

1 WHEREAS on December 23, 2011, the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs (“IPPs”) filed a motion  
2 for preliminary approval of their settlements with, *inter alia*, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung  
3 Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Semiconductor Inc. (collectively “Samsung”) (Dkt. No.  
4 4424), which is set for hearing January 20, 2012;

5 WHEREAS on January 4, 2012, LG Display America, Inc. and LG Display Co., Ltd.  
6 (collectively “LG”), filed a partial opposition to the IPPs’ motion challenging aspects of the IPPs’  
7 settlement with Samsung (Dkt. No. 4485);

8 WHEREAS Samsung’s reply to LG’s partial opposition would ordinarily be due on January  
9 11, 2012;

10 WHEREAS Samsung desires a modest extension of two days to January 13, 2012 to file its  
11 reply, and LG is not opposed to this extension; and

12 WHEREAS, this extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed  
13 by the Court, and the reply will be filed a week before the scheduled hearing;

14 THEREFORE, LG and Samsung hereby agree pursuant to Local Rule 6-2:

15 Samsung shall file its reply in support of the IPPs’ motion for preliminary approval no later  
16 than January 13, 2012.

17 A proposed order is set forth below for the Court’s convenience.

18 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19 Dated: January 6, 2012

By: /s/ Jerome C. Roth

Brad D. Brian (State Bar No. 079001)  
Stuart N. Senator (State Bar No. 148009)  
Jonathan E. Altman (State Bar No. 170607)  
Truc T. Do (State Bar No. 191845)  
Hailyn C. Chen (State Bar No. 237436)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702  
Brad.Brian@mto.com

Jerome C. Roth (State Bar No. 159483)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
560 Mission Street, 27th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2907  
Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
Facsimile: (415) 512-4077  
Michael R. Lazerwitz (PRO HAC VICE)  
Jeremy J. Calsyn (State Bar No. 205062)  
Lee F. Berger (State Bar No. 222756)

CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP  
2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 974-1500 (Phone)  
(202) 974-1999 (Facsimile)  
*mlazerwitz@cgsh.com*

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc.

By: /s/ Robert Wick  
Robert Wick (*pro hac vice*)  
Neil Roman (*pro hac vice*)  
Derek Ludwin (*pro hac vice*)  
Jeffrey Davidson (State Bar No. 248620)  
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
(202) 662-6000 (Phone)  
(202) 662-6291 (Facsimile)  
*rwick@cov.com*

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,  
Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and  
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other signatories thereto has been obtained.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation set forth above, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 9, 2012

By: 

Hon. Susan Y. Illston  
United States District Judge